

Macroeconomics Qualifying Exam -- 303 Module
Professor Paul J. Zak
Claremont Graduate University
May, 2004

Please answer question 1 and **either** question 2 **or** question 3. Each subpart is worth 10 points.

Q1. Consider a Cass-Koopmans model with an infinitely lived representative agent who obtains utility from consumption, c , and leisure, l . The model is given by

$$\text{Max}_{c_t, l_t} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [U(c_t) + V(l_t)]$$

s.t.

$$c_t + i_t = f(k_t, h_t)(1-t)$$

$$k_{t+1} = (1-d)k_t + i_t$$

$$h_t + l_t = 1,$$

where k is capital, h is labor hours, $d \in (0,1)$ is depreciation, $\beta \in (0,1)$ is the agent's discount factor, $t \in [0,1)$ is the tax rate, and i is investment. All tax revenue is dumped in the LA river. $U(\cdot)$, $V(\cdot)$ are neoclassical utility functions, and $f(\cdot)$ is a neoclassical production function: all have the standard properties and satisfy the Inada conditions. There is no population growth.

- Identify the state and choice variables. How many markets are in this model?
- Find the FOCs.
- State the complete set of optimality conditions for the model.
- Derive the phase portrait for the model. Identify all steady states and their stability properties. Show all your work.
- Now let the tax rate t double, with $2t < 1$. i) Derive the mathematical effect of this change on the phase curves; ii) Show the effect of this change graphically by producing a phase portrait showing the dynamics for t and $2t$.
- Now suppose that the tax $t = .95$. i) Redraw the phase portrait and ii) clearly, carefully, and concisely (C^3) discuss what this tax rate does to the economy's dynamics.
- Prove or disprove: The tax rate distorts the planner's labor supply decision.
- Now suppose that taxes pay for a lump-sum transfer, s , to the representative agent. The new resource constraint is: $c_t + i_t = f(k_t, h_t)(1-t) + s$, and otherwise the

model is unchanged. Prove or disprove: For this model, the tax t distorts the planner's labor supply decision.

Q2. Consider a pure exchange two period life model with a fixed number of shares of stock that can be used to transfer resources intertemporally and is traded in a stock market. Population is constant and normalized to 1. A consumer who has logarithmic utility solves the following utility maximization problem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max}_{c, c'} \ln(c) + \beta \ln(c') \\ & \text{s.t.} \\ & c = e - gp \\ & c' = (p' + d)g \end{aligned}$$

where variables without a prime ($'$) are in the current period, and those with a prime are in the following period. In this model, $\beta \in (0, 1)$ is the agent's discount factor, c is consumption, $e > 0$ is endowment of the consumption good, p is the stock price, g is shares of stock (assumed to be a continuous variable), and d is dividends paid per share of stock. Next period's price and dividend are nonstochastic.

- Find the optimal demand for shares of stock by the agent, g^* .
- Prove or disprove: i) shares demanded by the agent fall as current price p rises, and ii) shares demanded rise as future price p' rises.
- Find the demand for stocks when price $p=0$. Is this finding the result of a market failure? (Yes or no, and explain using standard economic theory).
- Find the stock market clearing price, p^* . Explain what this finding means C^3 .
- We now modify the model so that agents pay a proportional transaction cost $d \in (0, 1)$ to a broker (who is not part of the model) each time she buys or sells a share of stock. This model is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max}_{c, c'} \ln(c) + \beta \ln(c') \\ & \text{s.t.} \\ & c = e - g(1+d)p \\ & c' = (p' + d)g(1+d) \end{aligned}$$

Show mathematically how d affects i) the agent's demand for stocks g^* , and ii) the number of stocks held in equilibrium.

- Suppose that next period's price p' is stochastic, $p' \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$. i) Write down the complete model for this case and derive the optimal demand for shares of stock

by the agent, g^* , and ii) Prove or disprove: shares purchased rise as the expected future price $E(p_0) = m$ rises.

Q3. Consider a pure exchange two period life overlapping generations model with a proportional endowment tax $t \in (0, 1)$, and a consumption tax $s > 0$. All tax revenue is sent outside the economy. The population is constant and normalized to 1. A consumer solves the following utility maximization problem,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max}_{c_0, c_1} & U(c_0, c_1) \\ \text{s.t.} & \\ c_0 & = e_0(1-t) - s \\ (1+s)c_1 & = e_1 + R s. \end{aligned}$$

The endowments are $e_0, e_1 >> 0$, c_0, c_1 are first and second period consumption, R is the yield on savings s , and $U(\cdot)$ is a standard neoclassical utility function satisfying the Inada conditions.

a) Find the FOC. Identify the factors upon which the optimal savings relation s^* depends.

b) i) Identify (don't derive) the signs of ds^*/dt and ds^*/ds , and ii) explain how the agent's behaviors cause these results.

c) Let $U(c_0, c_1) = (1-b) \ln(c_0) + b \ln(c_1)$. Find the optimal savings relation s^* .

Complete the next three questions using the log utility function.

d) Identify each market in this model, including supply, demand, and the price. Define a general equilibrium for this model C^3 .

e) Find the equilibrium interest factor R^* . Derive and sign dR^*/dt and dR^*/ds .

f) Now modify the model by removing the consumption tax and taxing the endowment in both periods. The model is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max}_{c_0, c_1} & (1-b) \ln(c_0) + b \ln(c_1) \\ \text{s.t.} & \\ c_0 & = e_0(1-t) - s \\ c_1 & = e_1(1-t) + R s. \end{aligned}$$

Prove or disprove: The equilibrium interest factor for this model is higher than for the model with the consumption tax when $s=t$.